



# OUR COUNTRY

## RIGHT OR WRONG

TECHNOCRACY  
INC.

155 East 44th St., New York 17, N. Y.

15 CENTS

Only that nation which cannot devise a better system than communism need fear communism. Technocracy looks upon communism as being too bourgeois and conservative for North America, but sufficiently radical and revolutionary for the Old World.

# OUR COUNTRY

## RIGHT OR WRONG

<b>Our Country, Right or Wrong</b> .....	<b>Page 3</b>
<b>More Confusion, Worse Confounded</b> .....	<b>Page 17</b>
<b>Two Worlds or None!</b> .....	<b>Page 23</b>

**First Printing, October 1946**

**Second Printing, November 1946**

**Third Printing, February 1947**

**Published by Continental Headquarters, Technocracy Inc.**

**155 East 44th St., New York 17, N. Y.**

**Copyright 1946**

**Technocracy Inc.**

**Printed in U. S. A.**

## OUR COUNTRY, RIGHT OR WRONG

**I**N August 1946, one year had passed since the surrender of Japan and the cessation of military warfare of World War II. European fascism surrendered on May 9, 1945, to be followed by the Japanese capitulation on August 14, 1945, and now over a year later let us review the war, the Allies then and now.

Prior to World War II the Axis nations formed the Fascist Anti-Comintern Pact. Germany, Italy, Hungary, Spain and Japan were the members of this Anti-Comintern Axis, which was formed by the fascist powers to oppose and combat bolshevism and social change. Great Britain, France and, to a somewhat lesser extent, the United States lent tacit support and material help to the Axis crusade against the U. S. S. R. and social change everywhere.

After World War I, Great Britain supported economic rehabilitation in Germany in order to revive her own world trade. The tory conservatives of Britain and their governments of Baldwin and Chamberlain indulged in a surreptitious economic and political support of Hitler, and did their best to further the rise of German Fascism in order to create a bulwark in eastern Europe against the westward spread of Russian Bolshevism.

In 1938 Czechoslovakia stood as the only obstacle to a fascist war on Russia. Fascist governments existed in Poland, Hungary and Rumania, providing the springboard for an attack by the Germans, but Czechoslovakia remained an ally of Russia, with formidable armored divisions and geographically natural defences. France was bound by the treaty of 1925 to go to the aid of Czechoslovakia if attacked. Russia was pledged to aid France in the protection of the Czech state.

In the spring of 1938 France under the Popular Front was ready to come to the help of Czechoslovakia if assured of British support. The British Prime Minister on March 24 refused to give

any pledge to that effect. The French Popular Front government of Leon Blum fell and was replaced by the reactionary government of Edouard Daladier. Soviet Russia again and again declared her determination to honor her legal obligations toward Prague and to come to her aid if attacked, provided France did likewise. Russia terminated her non-aggression treaty with Poland and notified that country that Russian troops would be forced to cross Polish territory to come to the aid of Czechoslovakia.

### Diplomatic Treachery

Neville Chamberlain, the Prime Minister of Great Britain, and Foreign Secretary Lord Halifax journeyed to Munich accompanied by the Premier of France, M. Daladier, where they on behalf of their respective governments signed the Pact of Munich with Hitler and Mussolini, selling out the Republic of Czechoslovakia. The agreement to the Pact of Munich was demanded by Hitler and Mussolini in order that Germany and Italy could eliminate Czechoslovakia, the last fighting ally of Russia, so that they could declare war on the Soviet Union. This is stated quite openly in the documents of the Munich discussions as a prerequisite to a fascist war on Russia.

The world now has documentary proof that when the representatives of Great Britain and France signed the Pact of Munich they agreed not to 'peace in our time' but to a war of fascist Europe on Soviet Russia. Small wonder that Russia was not invited to take part in the Munich conference! The diplomatic treachery of 1938 became so involved and so confused that by September 1939 we find Great Britain and France, who had refused to protect democratic Czechoslovakia, declaring war on Germany for the protection of Fascist Poland. There followed the 'sitzkrieg' war through the winter of '39 and '40. Then came the debacle. The fascist powers overran Denmark, Norway, Holland, Belgium, France and the Balkans.

### Call it by its Name—Fascism

On June 22, 1941, European fascism began its long-planned attack on Soviet Russia and military conflict began in stark reality, compared to the *opera bouffe* of German invasion up until then. On December 7, 1941, the United States was attacked at Pearl Harbor and we were automatically at war. The attack

of the Japanese on Pearl Harbor and the United States Declaration of War on Japan were followed almost immediately by a declaration of war upon the United States by Germany and Italy and their satellites with the exception of Finland.

Technically, Great Britain went to war because her fascist ally, Poland, was invaded by Fascist Germany; France because she had a treaty of alliance with Britain; Soviet Russia because she was invaded by the fascist armies of Europe; the United States because she was attacked at Pearl Harbor by the Japanese Air Force. France went out of the picture when she was overrun, and became a Vichy ally of European fascism, leaving Britain, the United States and Russia as the chief powers fighting the armies of the fascist powers of Europe and Asia.

The United States Government, through its leaders before and since December 7, 1941, has proclaimed many times in various places that the United States was fighting a war against aggressors, against tyranny and against bandit nations. Never once did any leader in the United States or Canada ever proclaim or state that either Canada or the United States was fighting a war against fascism. A rather sad and sorry spectacle to have a nation of 140,000,000 engaged in a war fighting to defeat the armies of fascism but not fascism itself!

The irony of this is brought out in the war criminal trials at Nuremberg wherein the American and British prosecutors sum up the case for their respective governments against the fascist war criminals, and ask that the verdict of the court be imposed upon them because 'they are guilty of having conspired to wage a war of aggression.'

Japan surrendered August 14, 1945, and World War II technically, but not officially, came to an end with this surrender of the last of the fascist belligerents. In the year which has followed the cessation of hostilities, the United States policy in the pursuit of world peace has taken on a belligerency that was not exhibited in any of the patriotic propaganda necessary for the conduct of the war. During the war, the Armed Forces of the United States were in military conflict in the islands of the Pacific, in Africa and western Europe as the main theatres, with side-shows on the Burma-China front.

Today, a year after the surrender of the last of our enemies, the Armed Forces and the diplomatic and economic representatives of the United States are exhibiting a belligerency that is equivalent to the open advocacy of World War III. The propaganda of the present foreign policy of the United States is one wherein our previous enemies, the Germans, the Italians and

the Japanese, are encouraged officially and with large doses of humanitarian aid to accept spiritual absolution from their previous sins, and to transform themselves with American assistance from their former position as enemies into the democratic position of being honorable allies.

The fascist nations of the world fought the dirtiest war in history. The records of brutality, murder, disease and devastation of this fascist war will be forever remembered. When one views the record of this war with all its horrors, one can only consider a peace in which the fascist nations will be treated as defeated nations with the distinct purpose that all of the power of the victors shall be organized to prevent any recurrence of fascism that deluged the world in the bloody calamity of World War II. This does not mean that we are herein advocating that the settlements of peace should be based upon revenge.

The Armed Force of the United States was but one of the armed forces fighting the armies of fascism. Great Britain, Canada, India, Australia, New Zealand, Yugoslavia and China, in cooperation with the giant armies of Russia, were the other Allies that won the war. Great Britain, the United States and Russia were the Big Three that determined the military conduct of the war. They were Allies in fighting the enemy.

#### Russia's New Position

World War II has ceased. Russia has strengthened and consolidated the position which she enjoyed in 1939, that of a powerful contiguous continentalism. Russia in 1946 is in a much stronger geographical position than she was prior to the war. Her armed forces constituted the chief contribution in the defeat of the enemy. Politically, the position of Russia has grown from one of partial isolation. She has extended her political frontiers to where today she is the leading political and military power exercising control and administration of the greatest single contiguous area on earth. Russia in peace finds herself supported by allies of considerable geographical extent and military importance.

Russia didn't study under Dale Carnegie. Her process of making friends and influencing people has been far more extensive and much more effective than the cheap salesmanship of a Carnegie. Today in 1946, for better or for worse, Rumania, Bulgaria, Hungary, Yugoslavia, Albania, Czechoslovakia, Poland, Finland, and the Republic of Outer Mongolia are now treaty



allies of the U.S.S.R. The center of their political orbit is Moscow—not Rome, not London, not Paris and not Washington.

Russia has regained most of her territories that were lost when her former allies, the western democracies, turned on her and separated from her, her various border territories. Esthonia, Latvia, Lithuania and Bessarabia have been brought back into their national state. Finland, which was Russian territory longer than the United States west of the Mississippi has been American, remains a separate republic.

Russia on the western front has acquired several small pieces of territory not previously Russian, the tip-end of East Prussia down to and including a point west of Konigsberg and the small tail-end province of Czechoslovakia known as Carpatho-Ruthenia. This last acquisition puts the boundaries of Russia across the Carpathian Mountains and into the plains of Hungary for the first time. In the east, the lower half of the Island of Sakhalin, the Kuril Islands insuring Russian control of the Sea of Okhotsk plus control of Port Arthur and Dairen, along with the recognition of the Republic of Outer Mongolia, have established Russia's political and military preeminence in Far Eastern and Northern Pacific affairs.

Russia has gained in political and military stature and has acquired friends and allies. Her friends and allies, it must be noted here, are but an extension of the geography of her contiguous continentalism. The Russian strategy is one which always seeks a geographical implementation of Russian policy. Today, the Russian orbit stretches from Lubeck on the Baltic to Trieste on the Adriatic, down the Adriatic including Yugoslavia and Albania, and across Bulgaria to the Black Sea.

Temporarily Greece and the Dardanelles are politically outside this orbit but this is of slight moment. In 1914, Great Britain and France promised Czarist Russia the gift of Constantinople and the Dardanelles for her participation in World War I. Today the Montreux Convention has been denounced and is no longer in effect. Russia seeks, and will ultimately acquire, the joint administration of the Dardanelles by the Black Sea powers. To those who take up the cry of opposing Russia as a protagonist of the century-old British policy of domination of the Mediterranean, may we say in passing that the strategic harbors of Valona, Durazzo, Pola, Fiume and Trieste are in reality Russian ports of entry on the Mediterranean.

On the Baltic, the coastline of Finland, Russia, Poland, and Russian occupied Germany automatically give Russia geo-

graphical control of the Baltic which, supported by her economic power, will automatically tend to compel Norway, Sweden and Denmark to become party to a Baltic cartel.

Prior to World War II, Germany occupied the position of leadership in the Berlin-Rome-Tokyo Axis Pact of Fascism as a world bulwark against bolshevism and social change—for the preservation of the status quo. For over twenty years Europe was deluged with the propaganda of a religious crusade, calling on all the faithful to oppose and fight bolshevism and any social change that differed from their medieval concepts. This religious crusade sired political fascism; political fascism attained political power in Hungary, Italy, Germany, Spain and Portugal as its main national proponents. This crusade and its ideological political offspring finally carried its conflict to the last court of appeal, physical force, and its armies began World War II.

The armies of fascism have been completely and utterly defeated. This defeat has no similarity to the Armistice of November 1918. Italy, Germany and Japan no longer remain as military powers.

#### National and International Disaster

During the war the foreign policy of the United States was one of military furtherance of the war, and economic and military assistance to those countries fighting the nations of the Axis Pact. Since Potsdam, the foreign policy of the United States has openly taken on a new direction from an entirely different position. Peace has ushered in a new collection of political expediencies and economic opportunities to which our foreign policy has geared itself with entirely new objectives.

The objectives of the foreign policy of the United States over a long-time trend carry with them a political and economic portent which, if carried to their seemingly logical conclusion, are an invitation to national and international disaster. The policy of the United States abroad has shifted from that of being an accessory political adjunct in assisting the economic penetration of American big business to more and better exploitation; the policy today is a propaganda of enhancement of the concept that the United States is the sole possessor of the ideological leadership of the world.

The propaganda of this policy has been pushed to such an extreme by the careerists of our national representation that the

United States has become in their eyes the sole sovereign power of the globe. The financial success of the United States in World War II, combined with the comparative ease of military victory, has swept us off our feet and we have become imbued with the swelled head of 'one world,' a one world conceived of by the politicians, to be owned and operated by bigger and better business, purely private of course.

### Concern for the Enemy

For several centuries the British Empire has been the chief proponent of a foreign policy of economic and political imperialism. As the rest of the world changes and the resource position of the British Isles grows continually more hazardous, it becomes obvious that the foreign policy of British imperialism can no longer be maintained by the British Isles, nor can such a policy be bolstered up by the substitution of the United States for the British Empire in the world leadership of this policy.

During the war the United States was an ally of the greatest military power. Since the war, the new foreign policy of the United States is now based upon the theory that the former enemies of the United States in World War II can be revived by the military and economic power of the United States into becoming 'our noble allies.'

The United States today occupies Japan and half of Korea, and has military forces in North Chinese territory. In Europe, the United States and Great Britain jointly occupy Italy. The United States, Great Britain, and France occupy western Austria and Germany. The economic burden in Japan, Italy, Western Austria and Germany falls chiefly upon the United States. While Great Britain and France in general support the occupation policy of the United States in Europe, they nevertheless do not possess the economic wherewithal to sustain the costs of operation without the preponderance of American economic and political support.

The foreign policy of the United States is today one of the most ironic reversals that any nation has ever permitted itself to make. We, the United States, in the propaganda supporting our foreign policy, reek with an obsequious solicitude for the cultural, political and economic welfare of our former enemies, who only a few months ago were engaged in the business of killing Americans.

This foreign policy of ours today is deeply concerned over the unfortunate and depressing conditions of the Japanese, the Italians, the Austrians, and the Germans. This policy of ours abroad demonstrates quite clearly that we are more concerned over the condition and the plight of our former enemies than we are over the people and the condition of this Continent. This policy reeks with all the diplomatic and political maneuvering under the heavy meringue of international humanitarianism. The policy today is highly charged with the propaganda for immediate World War III.

### The Lame, the Halt and the Blind

Every North American had better stop and face the question squarely. Where is the present foreign policy of the United States leading? Can we continue to behave as No. 1 power of the world with a chip on both shoulders? Can the United States with such a policy dominate the ideological leadership of the world when it lacks an ideology that it can present to the world? Can our economic power long continue to support a political worldwide offensive for more and better business? We must face the fact that an immediate World War III would be an easy temporary solution to the insoluble problems that lie just ahead for the Democratic Administration of the United States, the Liberal Party Government of Mackenzie King and the economic systems of North America.

For those who advocate war now it would be wise before we contemplate another war, to find out who the allies of the United States would be in this war to beat Russia now. Great Britain and its population are too fatigued and worn out with the long drawn out undernourishment of World War II to relish another war. Furthermore, the people of Britain have no stomach for it politically. France would be split. As for Sweden, Norway and Denmark, one may as well write them off as being already members of the Russian orbit.

The United States apart from this Continent would be compelled to consider the Japanese; the Chiang Kai-shek Chinese; the Italians; the Austrians of western Austria; the Germans of Bavaria, Wurttemberg, Baden and the Rhineland; the Portuguese, Spaniards, Brazilians and the Argentines. Technocracy asks the question: 'Are these any allies to fight a war?' A collection of the lame, the halt and the blind, of all the military vanquished and politically defeated!

There is furthermore another stumbling block that every North American must realize—if the United States pursues this belligerent policy to the point of war she would for the first time be compelled to become the aggressor and the invader nation.

The Japanese for some years along the 2200 miles of Manchurian-Russian frontier did their best to create war by incidents of minor invasion, but it is interesting to note that even the major engagements of Changkufeng Ridge, Nomanhan and Khalka River which were full-scale military operations were never admitted by Russia to be sufficient pretext upon which to start a war. This same historical strategy of Russia still persists and no amount of incidents on the part of the United States forces anywhere will be construed by Russia as an act of war. Only a total outright invasion and declaration of war would be recognized as such.

It will be rather difficult for the United States to sell a war of aggression to the people of this Continent. Even our best advertising agencies will find that a dilemma. The United States prosecution at Nuremberg charged the Nazi war criminals with being guilty of conspiring to wage a war of aggression. If the legality of this indictment has any validity, would our national leaders be guilty of the same conspiracy?

### The New Axis

In its foreign policy, the United States has in effect created a new axis—a Washington-Rome-London Axis. The United States in this new axis occupies the same position of leadership that Germany did in the old axis. In the old axis Germany spear-headed the bulwark against Communism and Russia; in the new axis the United States has unfortunately permitted the mantle of leadership of 'stop Russia' to fall upon its shoulders.

Our position abroad is never determined by any positive policy of what could be done in any given area, but only by the negative policy of what can be done in any area to oppose the supposed policies of Russia and her satellites. In doing this and in carrying this mantle the United States has acquired satellites, not allies. Great Britain is passing from the scene as a first class world power and has become chief satellite in the foreign policy of the United States, nobly assisted by Italy, France, occupied Western Germany and Japan.

In Europe and every country in which the United States is acting as a military government of occupation, her policy of

military government backed by her economic support has underwritten the formation and establishment of Catholic Centrist parties. They have been revived with American aid to absorb the fascist political residue. Catholic Centrist parties in Italy, Austria, Western Germany, France, Belgium and Holland have received the open and active support of the United States. The Catholic Centrist party in Germany was dissolved by its own leaders to make way for Hitler. In Europe we are supporting the forces of reaction, politically and economically, but the question arises on a long term basis, can the United States alone remake and reconstitute a resource deficient Western Europe, with or without an act of Congress?

### A Foreign Liability

Today the United States is committed in its foreign policy to the economic and political support of a defeated Italy, geographically minus her colonies and considerable territory. Italy is one of the worst headaches included in our foreign policy as well as nationally the worst deficit among the defeated nations. Italy's position today is more desperate from the standpoint of resources and markets than it has ever been.

Britain and Germany supplied most of the coal to sustain Italian operation in normal times. The bulk of Italian production went north to Germany and her customers. Italy used to import six to seven million tons of coal a year from Britain, and an even larger amount from Germany. Today, Great Britain no longer exports coal—she cannot produce enough for her own consumption. The coal production of Western Germany is only around 40% of 1938, and Eastern Germany under the Russians will probably continue to be cut off both as a source of supply and as a market. Western Germany is bankrupt and can therefore provide neither raw materials nor a market for Italian production.

There are only two nations in the world today that can supply Italy with the needed raw materials—Russia and the United States. If the United States supplied the raw material she would be unable to provide Italy with a market, so Italy would still have to find a market for material manufactured from American resources. On the other hand, if Russia supplied the raw materials, she could also supply the market. A frightening picture—to find that the possible economic salvation of Italy and the Holy See lies in the territory of the hated Communists!

This of course would call for a political and economic indulgence transcending even the spiritual.

The foreign policy of the United States is vociferous in its demands for internationalization of the Danube. Whether it bases this claim on the little tailend of the Danube from the Austrian frontier to Regensburg is of no moment. Its policy here again is not one of opening navigation on the Danube, or of joining the Danube and the Rhine or the Elbe, but one of opposition to the operation of the remaining 98% of the Danube River by the Russian dominated powers along its banks.

The United States has never been a party to, or a member of, any Danubian authority and it is politically and historically rather late in the day to try to get its feet in that river. We are in the logically absurd position that Russia could with equal validity propose the internationalization of the St. Lawrence River and the Great Lakes, on the basis that they too are navigable to ships in international trade. One doesn't hear of the United States and Great Britain proposing such magnanimity in the control of the Panama Canal and the Suez.

#### The Mediterranean—An American Mare Nostrum

The latest abortion in our foreign policy is the forthright stand of United States over the question of the Dardanelles. The Montreux Convention was the international agreement, signed before the war, that was supposed to govern the international rules and conditons regarding the use of the Dardanelles in war and in peace. Great Britain, France, Italy, Germany, Yugoslavia, Greece, Bulgaria, Rumania, Russia, Japan and Turkey were signatories to the Montreux Convention. The United States of America has never been on the Danube or at the Dardanelles. It has no historical precedent for this step in its foreign policy, but may we point out that with Italy, Germany and Japan out of the Montreux Convention a new Montreux Convention would be unable to outvote the Russians.

For this reason the United States has now declared itself to be the leading Mediterranean power. The poor British are called upon to support this new aggressiveness of our foreign policy for an American Mare Nostrum in the Mediterranean. Quite naturally the United States will be told that its diplomatic position on the Dardanelles is irrelevant, incompetent and immaterial, for the United States in order to vigorously support

this policy in the Dardanelles would have to revive and sustain Turkey economically as an American outpost.

This would mean a tremendous cost of material supplies to Turkey and the absorption of all Turkish surplus production. The United States would have to become practically the sole market for Turkish exports. Turkey has been and now is in a rapidly deteriorating economic position. Turkey is not self-sufficient and has neither the industry nor the resources to provide the physical wealth to maintain large standing armies. The present policy of Turkey is rapidly leading to economic impoverishment. The coming year will witness the full impact of an economic *force majeure* upon the Turkish state.

The flow lines of Turkish trade were across the Black Sea and through the Balkans to Europe. Russia has cut off Black Sea traffic and trade to the Balkans. A defeated Germany is too desperate and too poor to come to the aid of Turkey, and Great Britain no longer possesses the means to hold up Turkey on her own. Time is not with the Turks. Politically, in one's own country it may be quite a gesture to throw your hat in the ring, but in international diplomacy one should never go throwing diplomatic hats into far off places where one only holds deuces and not aces. If Secretary Byrnes keeps this up, he will run out of hats!

### Religious Crusades

The foreign policy of the United States in its international belligerency is supported here at home by a Continent-wide barrage of propaganda in the press, radio, screen and pulpit for an immediate war on Russia. The chief proponents of this propaganda in the national press are the Hearst papers, the Scripps-Howard chain, to be followed in lesser order by Patter-son's Daily News and other papers owned by reactionary big business. This jingoistic clamor in the public press for 'attack Russia now' is only exceeded in viciousness by the anti-Russian propaganda of the Roman Catholic press of the United States and Canada.

The present Pope at the papal consistory of the College of Cardinals publicly called for a worldwide war on communism by all Catholic adherents, and instructed Roman Catholics everywhere to enter the political arena as Catholic parties to carry on this political warfare in the countries of their domicile. Here in the United States and Canada, every day brings fresh in-



stances of this political propaganda of the Vatican being dressed up in new forms of appeal, hate and persuasion for the people of this Continent.

The Vatican had papal concordats with Hitler's Germany, Mussolini's Italy, Horthy's Hungary, and Fascist Poland. Since the Lateran Accord of August 1929 with Italy, the Vatican lent its complete moral and spiritual support to the fascism of Italy, Hungary, Spain, and Germany. The Axis Pact of Fascism received the moral support of the Vatican in its war on Russia in World War II. The Vatican is now completely in the open in its advocacy of the use of armed force to continue its war against the Russian State.

It is high time that the people of this Continent ceased fighting wars abroad in support of either London or Rome. Religious crusades in this day and age are the lowest form of international venality. It is high time that the people of this Continent awake to their true position. We are being exhorted for immediate war against the U.S.S.R. Communism is represented as the great evil which must be suppressed. The people of this Continent are now being asked to fight World War III to save the world from communism. Most amazing! Never once in World War II did the press of the United States exhort the people of this country to save the world from fascism!

Only that nation which cannot devise a better system than communism need fear communism. Technocracy looks upon communism as being too bourgeois and conservative for North America, but sufficiently radical and revolutionary for the Old World.

### Ensuring World Peace

Technocracy contends that world peace can only be ensured and enforced by an offensive and defensive alliance of the two great contiguous continentalisms of the world—the Continent of North America and the Union of Socialist Soviet Republics. These two continental areas dominate the world from the standpoint of geography, arable land, natural resources and technological potentialities. No world war could develop unless the materiel for such a war were procured from one or the other of these continental areas. The fifty-one nations of the United Nations are an irrelevant hodge-podge. The United States has to choose between an alliance with Russia for the creation of a 'Big Two' for the preservation of world peace, and the mesal-

liance with the nations of the hodge-podge and an open invitation to war.

Technocracy takes the position, 'Our country! In her intercourse with foreign nations may she always be in the right; but our country, right or wrong.' We hope our country will always be right but if our country is wrong it becomes the collective duty of every American to make it right.

## MORE CONFUSION, WORSE CONFOUNDED

**I**N 1930 the great depression was well under way. Herbert Hoover and the Republican Administration were in power in Washington; R. B. Bennett and the Conservative Party were administering Canadian national affairs in Ottawa. In 1932, Franklin D. Roosevelt and the Democratic Party campaigned in the depth of the depression for a 'New Deal' for the Democrats, and by implication social reformation for the rest of the inhabitants of the United States.

Franklin Delano Roosevelt was inaugurated as President, and the Democratic Party assumed political power in Washington on March 4, 1933. Two years later the Liberal Party of Canada under the Honorable Mackenzie King came into office as the political administration of Canada. The regimes of the Liberal Party in Canada under the leadership of Mackenzie King and the Democratic Party in the United States under the leadership of Franklin D. Roosevelt maneuvered Canada and the United States politically through the great depression and World War II.

The policies of these two parties in relation to national affairs have been similar, if not identical, in their day-to-day tactical expedencies. In the United States, the political ascendancy of the New Deal was hailed nationwide by all the fervent well-wishers and liberals of that day as initiating a new age in the social legislation of the United States.

The long parade of alphabetical combinations, from NRA and AAA to WPA, are almost forgotten. The parade of the alphabets has passed, Franklin D. Roosevelt is deceased, and the New Dealism of the Democratic Party lies buried along with its founder. Mackenzie King is still Prime Minister of Canada, but only by the grace of God and a coalition of French Canadian Independents. The Liberal Party no longer has the

political power to sustain him in office. The passing of the New Deal Democratic Party will be followed shortly by the demise of the Canadian New Dealism of the Liberal Party.

### Wholesale Political Bribery

Franklin D. Roosevelt and Mackenzie King have been vociferously hailed by their political supporters as statesmen of world rank. The course of events refuses to sustain these contentions. Statesmen, no! Politicians, yes! An impartial analysis of national events over the last decade confirms the contention that Franklin D. Roosevelt was undoubtedly one of the most adroit politicians ever elected to political leadership in the United States. Mackenzie King was an equally adroit Franklin D. Roosevelt of Canada.

The political process in both countries was compounded of a mixture of continual expediency and compromise in which all issues were evaded by resolving the issues into a series of ever greater compromises. It must be borne in mind that no charges of individual corruption or malfeasance in office can seriously be entertained against either the Liberal Party of Canada or the Democratic Party of the United States during this long tenure of political office. But the very process under which national affairs were administered by this political New Dealism was one by which the national morale was subverted by the wholesale indirect 'bribery' of entire blocs of the population by federal alteration of the national income structure for the particular benefit of the recipient bloc.

Political parties of yesteryear developed to a fine art the process of attaining political power by direct monetary purchase of the necessary number of ward bosses and their cohorts with promises of jobs for the rising young men of any political district, and the assurance of increased business in the local area. This political process entailed the purchase of political power by the old-time picayune method of dishing out sufficient monetary rewards and assurances to swing the majority of ward bosses and district bosses, although it might be deemed as being undemocratic in that it never reached down to the grass roots to involve the majority of the people. It was distinctly a retail method.

When the Democratic Party in the United States came into power in 1933, Tammany evacuated its untenable position on the banks of the Hudson and moved to the banks of the Potomac,

there to institute the new process of political procurement and persuasion. This was carried to the ultimate in democratization, wherein mass blocs of the population were economically seduced in order to secure their political support.

### The Gravy Train

Temporary economic salvation was pumped into the national mechanism by the political machine whenever and wherever the cries of distress became too clamorous to be ignored. If markets were not large enough, they were subsidized; if market prices were too low, the price was raised by creating market scarcities by open market purchases on the part of the government; if markets did not exist, they were created both at home and abroad. Unable to loan abroad, the United States created foreign purchasing power by the fictitious method of devaluation of gold at home. The federal government pumped more relief funds into corporations than were paid out in the WPA.

Any move whatsoever to nationally supply a palliative was crowned with success, because fortuitously the march of events provided the political administration with a succession of calamities which they could capitalize into another evasion of the fundamental national problems.

Volumes could be written depicting the thousands of cases in which these methods have been used across this Continent within the last decade or more. We have neither space nor time to waste on the enumeration of long lists of specific cases. We must herein deal with the broad general picture of the political manipulation of our economic order within the last decade, and of the political hazards with which this Continent is faced as the result of the continuous political denial of the fundamental problem of this country and this Continent.

The war raised these political methods to their zenith. Never before in the history of man has the conduct of war been made so profitable so easily. Technically, the Governments of the United States and Canada have been at war; actually, the people of these two nations have during the period of the war been participants in the greatest gravy train of war prosperity ever visited upon any people. Our respective snoots and all four feet have been in the trough of this gravy train throughout the war.

### Needed—A New Concept

The military war has terminated for the United States and Canada; the economic war continues. Greater political conflicts

lie ahead than have yet been experienced by the people of this Continent. The technological expansion of the productive capacity of the United States and Canada and the increase in the consumption of extraneous energy highlight the highway of the political tomorrow.

The gigantic production of raw materials necessary for the fabrication of war material has brought about the serious depletion of the national resources of Continental United States. The United States is no longer the virgin territory of undeveloped resources. We are running low in copper, lead, zinc, hematite iron ore and oil. The United States no longer possesses the necessary resources for the illimitable expansion of private enterprise.

The farms of the United States are continuing to increase in size; the national average now exceeds 190 acres. The farm population of the United States is declining. Both of these trends will not only continue but will be accelerated as the cotton picker, the corn harvester, the beet, potato and sugar cane harvesters march in ever-increasing numbers across the agricultural fields of America. The problem of soil erosion and soil depletion is with us greater than ever. The problem of forestry looms ever larger as we massacre more billions of board feet per annum.

The oil companies of America have become hysterical in their search for new oil territories on this Continent. The war has proven that rail and truck transportation are too high in their ton-mile costs to economically transport the abundance that technology is waiting to distribute to the people of this Continent. Vast sources of potential hydro-electric power still tumble precipitously down to sea-level. Water tables are falling from Ohio to California, from Texas to Peace River. This Continent of North America with over 50% of the fresh water of the world has no political party that has even a single concept regarding this—one of our greatest natural resources, one of our greatest heritages.

#### Ten Days to the WPA

The lush prosperity of the war, combined with the movements of our military forces, has created the greatest migratory problem in our history. The United States Bureau of Census reports that approximately 28,000,000 Americans migrated from their original local habitats. California has a new headache with approximately 2,000,000 indigestible new Californians. The

migratory tendencies are both occupational and climatic. Larger cities are favored over smaller ones, warmer climatic areas in preference to colder and bleaker areas. The southern negro and white tenant farmer are moving north to our larger cities. The small town inhabitant wants to move to the bigger town—the bigger the better.

The people of the United States have come out of the war with the greatest national debt ever known and the greatest collection of savings deposits, bonds and other financial accumulations. Financially, the United States is the wealthiest country on earth. Who says we aren't? The nation has \$130 billion in accumulated savings. It should not worry us that 60% of our savings are held by 10% of the families, that over 70% of the families of the United States have so little security that if they lose their jobs they are only ten days away from the WPA in the richest country on earth. An amazing situation!

The increased monetary amount that comes in your pay envelope or your salary check may carry with it the gratification of increased figures, but you find yourself being able to buy less and less with more and more. No cars, no meat, no sugar, no sheets, no shirts, no shoes, no soap—and no place to live! This is the result of the political stewardship of our national economy.

### The Political Goulash

In Canada, the Liberal Party is in power in Nova Scotia, Prince Edward Island and New Brunswick. The Union Nationale dominates the Province of Quebec. The Conservative Party is in control of the Province of Ontario. In Manitoba a coalition administers that fair province. In Saskatchewan, the CCF is almost exclusive in its control of the political administration of that province, and in Alberta the Social Credit Party is in the same enviable position. British Columbia has a political coalition in power.

Canada today is a political goulash, with every component in the political stew seeking political power under this Price System, none of them sufficiently revolutionary in their political conceptions to provide the leadership and to create the loyalty that will be required by all Canadians for their political future.

In the United States, the Democratic Party is a coalition of reactionary southern Democrats, the liberal-labor vote, and the state political machines of Pendergast of Missouri, Kelly-Nash of Illinois, Hague of New Jersey, Farley-Flynn of New York and

Curley-Walsh-McCormack of Massachusetts. The Canadian political picture at least has its party ingredients identified and labelled; in the United States, the Republican and Democratic Parties are similar mixtures of local party machines, big business, labor support, and the clerically directed vote of the Italian, the Pole, the Slovene, the South German and the Spanish speaking population.

In the face of all this, Technocracy asks: 'Can you expect from the political parties of this Continent anything but more compromise, greater deception and more confusion?'

**Technocracy is the only Organization on the Continent of North America whose presentation to the people of this Continent is the same Continent-wide. Multi-political parties can never federate this Continent into a contiguous continentalism. Multi-political parties cannot inaugurate security and abundance for the people of North America. A house divided against itself eventually must fall. The people of this Continent must develop a Continental consciousness of their social destiny. National direction under multi-political parties is the road to national anarchy. Technocracy indicts all political parties as purveyors of further Continental confusion.**



## TWO WORLDS OR NONE!

THE technological application of physical science has become the major ferment in social change. For centuries mankind has been the protagonist in military war, religious conflicts and other attendant struggles for survival. For over seventy centuries mankind has made war mostly for loot, conquest, and religious conversion. During all these centuries there have evolved a collection of concepts arising from the habituation of mankind to the human-toil, hand-tool production of physical wealth. These concepts have been further modified and molded by the over-all imposition of theological dogma and the escapism of philosophic speculation.

Man in his struggle as a social animal has been dominated and ruled down through history by two orders of knowledge. Theology or spiritual knowledge can probably claim precedence. Subsequently, philosophy or metaphysical knowledge came into being to beguile the mind of man in the physical world with the comforting logic of an abstract world. Both these orders of knowledge comforted and solaced him in his temporary journey in this mundane world, wherein mankind worked and suffered in the here and now to gain the rewards of an Elysium hereafter.

This process of the social conditioning of mankind has been in operation for over seventy centuries. It is only within the last four centuries that a new order of human knowledge has intruded itself into the thought patterns, the ways and means of human society.

Today, a year after the close of World War II, science and technology present the greatest challenge ever hurled in seventy centuries of history against the ramparts of human concepts and social traditions.

The governments and social structures of these past centuries were the cumulative accretions resulting from the

habituations of mankind from producing his physical wealth with human toil and hand tools buttressed by tradition and twin ruling orders of knowledge. The masses of mankind in every time and every clime and under every form of political governance have been so conditioned and so patterned to a major social concept that there has been no indication in the thought patterns of any fundamental diversions until the last two decades.

That major social concept is the one which proscribes all human thought, will, and effort into complete acquiescence of the physical world being incapable of any alteration of design, and of being subject only to the omniscience of a spiritual deity and the minor modifications of geologic time. Salvation for mankind only existed in a spiritual world beyond the grave, completely out of this world. The two dominant orders of knowledge and the process of producing physical wealth have so conditioned and indoctrinated collective mankind that even today the promulgations in the national press, pulpit, screen, and literature still contend that the major social problems of mankind are incapable of solution by any collective action of the human beings in this physical world. Any attempt on the part of science and technology to create design and direction for human society in continental orders of magnitude is immediately decried by all of the traditionalists, reactionaries and radicals alike.

### The Dictum of Science

Science is the methodology of the determination of the most probable. Science alone can determine how to do what, where, and when. Modern technology is the engineering of the design, construction, and operation of the continuous processes of production and supply of the what, when required anywhere. For the first time in the history of man human society possesses the knowledge, the techniques and the instrumentalities of achievement to organize a designed direction for the survival of social man. All that prevents its achievement is the opposing force of conditioned concepts that man's only reward is hereafter.

The peoples of North America and the so-called western world have been deluged by the political concept of 'one world' a la Wendell Willkie and the United Nations. This concept of one world is a half brother to all the concepts that originated prior to 1648. The planet Earth is one world as a planetary body revolving in its orbit around the sun. Earth is one of the planets of the solar system—the solar system is but a minutia in the galactic systems.

Socially on this planet Earth, there is not one world but two distinct worlds. One world socially, politically, and economically is that world which has come down to us from the historical past wherein social man fought his environment and produced his physical wealth solely by human toil and hand tools. That world can only expand by increasing its population, provided of course that its area has the resource capacity to sustain the increased population growth.

That world of tradition and antiquity can increase the total volume of its physical wealth only by having more human beings engaged in human toil with hand tools, but any increase that world may achieve in the production of physical wealth is so insignificant that the income level of the individual citizen remains relatively unchanged. That world, whether in possession of resources and climate or not, cannot with or without God's grace produce and distribute abundance, well-being, and security to its entire citizenry.

Let the scientist, technologist and engineer lay down the dictum here and now that **no economy under any form of government can, regardless of the beliefs and morality of its citizenry, increase its per capita production of physical wealth by human toil and hand tools alone.** Producing physical wealth solely by the expenditure of human energy dooms any economy to the starvation subsistence level of the primitive peoples of the pretechnological age. No political economy can envisage any solution to the problems of poverty, disease and malnutrition, except by the consumption of other energies outside the human animal.

### The New World

There is another world that exists today on this planet alongside of the social world just described. The newer social world differs fundamentally in its structure and operation from the social world of antiquity. This technological world produces its physical wealth by the degradation of extraneous energy through technological equipment and processes.

This technological world is the antithesis of the world of toil. Their destinies have nothing in common and will be in a state of perpetual conflict. This technological world can only increase its total volume of production of physical wealth by working less human beings less and less. This world can only progress by eliminating human effort as a chief factor in the production of physical wealth.

The world of toil has preached the morality of toil and the dignity of human labor. It has encouraged craftsmanship and rewarded the attainment of skill by the few with economic proprietary rights over the many. The Grecian world of 400 B.C. differed so slightly in its process of producing physical wealth from that of the new-born United States of 1780 that Plato could have sat down to breakfast in Mount Vernon with George Washington and enjoyed the sweet reasonableness and understanding of complete social compatibility.

This technological world treats man as a collective social animal and organic energy-consuming device operating in a given area in a specific time period. It does not treat social man as a moral, legal, ethical or spiritual entity; these are the distinctions and the categories born of seventy centuries of toil conceived in human perspiration and perpetuated in continuous poverty.

The technological world knows that the status of mankind can only be elevated out of the social morass of yesterday by the technological conversion and consumption of extraneous energy from coal, oil, gas, hydro-electric power, wind, internal earth heat, tides, solar energy, molecular energy, and atomic energy. Only by rendering greater volumes of extraneous energy more available, and increasing the efficiency of energy-consuming devices, can any social system produce an abundance of goods and services sufficient to eliminate toil and poverty.

Leisure has connotations only in the world of toil—leisure will have no connotation shortly in this oncoming technological world of ours for, as more and more energy-consuming devices are installed, consuming ever greater quantities of extraneous energy, more and more human beings will be eliminated as human effort contributors in the production of wealth. As more technology pervades the Continent of North America, more and more North Americans will cease to be toilers and will be elevated to the high social level of being consumers of abundance.

### An Enormous Expenditure

Modern technology lays down a new method of social analysis. Those nations and economies that have the highest percentage of their adult population working the greatest number of hours annually belong to the world of toil. Those economies such as Canada and the United States that have a declining percentage of their total adult population working less and less are

racing toward their Continental destiny. Economies of this type face a political, social, and economic reconstruction fundamentally more revolutionary than the combined political upheavals of history.

The United States in the year 1944 consumed 35,707 trillion B.T.U.s of extraneous energy. This is the all-time high of energy consumption by any nation in history. This consumption is at the rate of 180,000 kilogram calories per capita per day. Canada reached its all-time peak of 140,000 kilogram calories per capita per day in the same year. It was this terrific consumption of energy that enabled the United States to maintain over 14 million of its most able-bodied citizens in its armed forces, and at the same time export billions of dollars of Lend-Lease to the other allied nations around the globe.

This all-time high of energy consumption not only equipped, maintained, and supplied the Armed Forces of the United States, and produced and transported the vast volumes of Lend-Lease, but at the same time produced the greatest quantity of consumers' goods ever consumed by the American people per annum. This huge production of war goods, physical plant and equipment, and consumer goods became possible only because the United States possessed the resources, the technological equipment and the engineering skill to achieve it. This achievement was attained in spite of the machinations of political partisanship and chiseling skullduggery of business enterprise, big and little.

The United States and Canada in five years of World War II mobilized their respective armed forces, and organized their huge technological production while coordinating their national effort, politically and economically, for the collective attainment of a common goal—military victory. This military victory cost over \$300 billion and over 300,000 lives. For the \$300 billion spent in war, the nation will have very little to show except a huge surplus of partly usable war assets, war plants, most of which are already obsolete, and a collection of military bases and air fields of questionable importance in our Continental strategy of the future.

### A Challenge—and a Warning

These two nations could with equal facility mobilize their man-power, their machines and their money for a physical and economic rehabilitation that would be an investment in the future of a Continent and its people. **An efficient expenditure of \$300 billion under a technological control would banish toil, poverty**

and disease from the face of this Continent. Let every citizen of this Continent ponder this well. The peoples of Canada and the United States have it within their power to impose their will upon the conduct of human affairs just as easily as they acceded with patriotic fervor to the demands of a war economy.

Science or physical knowledge is being ushered in as the third order of knowledge in the affairs of men. The two other orders of knowledge have dominated mankind until today but it becomes imperative that they be relegated to their proper positions and that the conduct of human affairs be dominated by science, the physical knowledge of the world in which we live.

In the passing of the old and the instituting of the new, human conflict will become the bitterest in history. Science is hated and feared by ecclesiastical institutionalism, by corporate enterprise and political parties. The fear and hatred that will be directed by these against science and its technological application will be far greater than those the Inquisition hurled against all the accumulated heresies. Only science and its technological application to the means whereby we live can produce for mankind the control of his social destiny here on this earth.

It becomes imperative that the conduct of human affairs be dominated by science, the physical knowledge of the world in which we live. In the passing of the old and the instituting of the new, human conflict will become the bitterest in history. Science is hated and feared by ecclesiastical institutionalism, by corporate enterprise and political parties. The fear and hatred that will be directed by these against science and its technological application will be far greater than those the Inquisition hurled against all the accumulated heresies.



SECTION 1, R. D. 11140  
**TECHNOCRACY, INC.**  
P. O. BOX 625 — PHONE 9-7581  
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH